

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/564,586</p>	<p>Applicant(s) BIESTER, KLAUS</p>
<p>Examiner JOHN BASTIANELLI</p>	<p>Art Unit 3753</p>	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN BASTIANELLI

(2) Collin A. Rose

Date of Interview: 29 July 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102 and 103

Claims discussed:
1 and 50

Prior art documents discussed:
Howard and Lange

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner phoned the applicant to discuss amending claim 1 with claim 50 (helix angle in range of 65-85 degrees) to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner explained that Howard still was 102/103 to claim 1 as a circulation body is seen as 22, 21, and 20 and may be modified to enclose all the cited pieces to protect them. The examiner sees claim 50 as allowable as the examiner does not see a reason to modify one or both of the spur gears of Howard or Lange to have a helix angle of 65-85 degrees. The applicant agreed to the amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Bastianelli/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)